A **Regular Meeting** of the **Planning Board** of the Village of Cooperstown was held in the Village Office Building, 22 Main Street, Cooperstown, New York on **SEPTEMBER 17, 2019** at 4:30 PM

IN ATTENDENCE

- MEMBERS ATTENDING (4): Richard Blabey (Acting Chair) / Ellen Pope / David Pearlman / John Webb (Alternate)
- MEMBERS ABSENT (3) : Gene Berman (Chair) / Fred Schneider / James Lacava (Alternate)
- OTHERS ATTENDING
 - o Jane Gentile Zoning Enforcement Officer (ZEO) / Mikal Sky-Shrewsberry Clerk, PT
 - Member(s) of the Public: (1)

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER by Richard Blabey at 4:30 PM

REGULAR AGENDA: (3) ITEM(S)

- 2. 5 West Beaver
 - a) Building signage including directional and unit placards per Chapter 227
 - PRESENT / PARTICIPATING
 - o Joseph Galati owner
 - MATERIALS DISTRIBUTED
 - o NA
 - DESCRIPTION/DISCUSSION
 - o Current signage
 - Currently, there is NO business signage on the building
 - o Front of building defined
 - Board agreed that the front included all parts of the building visible in the front elevation drawing
 - o Front facade includes the walls that are parallel to the street and the walls that are perpendicular to the street
 - Perpendicular walls are due to the fact that the front facade has walls that step back
 - o Wrapped signage was determined to be located on the front of the building
 - CREAMERY sign wraps around the stepped back walls shown in the front elevation
 - o Board agreed that the wrapped signage is approvable
 - It is located on the front of the building
 - Design meets sign code requirements
 - Size is less than the maximum 40-square-feet allowed
 - o Informational Sign and Dwelling Unit Numbers
 - Dwelling Unit numbers were determined NOT to count towards square footage limits for signage
 - o Numbers on the dwelling units were considered to be informational and required by law for safety
 - Dwelling units are complete apartments, accessed from the outside
 - o They were allowed Cooper Lane Apartments and other similar dwelling complexes
 - o Jane Gentile (ZEO) agreed that it should be allowed
 - Can't find anything in the code that specifically addresses this type of sign
 - Sign on back of building regarding recyclables/trash was determined NOT to count towards square footage limits for signage
 - o Trash sign is informational (NOT promotional) and located on the back of the building
 - o Board agreed that all signage including dwelling unit numbers and trash signs were approvable
 - MOTION:
 - o Made by: David Pearlman / Seconded by: John Webb

o Proposition:

To Approve The Building Signage for 5 West Beaver - as proposed

- Includes directional and unit placards
- o Vote:
 - Ayes (4): Blabey / Pearlman / Webb / Pope
 - Abstentions (0):
 - Nays (0):
 - MOTION PASSED
- 3. Walnut Street/Chestnut Street (Mark Donahoe Dunkin Brands, Inc / Steven Wilson Bohler Engineering)
 - a) Preliminary Site Plan / Parking Plan Review per Articles VII and VIII
 - PRESENT / PARTICIPATING
 - o NO Representative was present
 - MATERIALS DISTRIBUTED
 - o NA
 - TYPE 2 ACTION FOR SEQR
 - o MOTION:
 - Made by: David Pearlman / Seconded by: John Webb
 - Proposition:

To Declare that the proposed Dunkin Brands Project on Walnut Street is a Type II action for the purposes of SEQR

- Vote:
 - o Ayes (4): Blabey / Pearlman / Webb / Pope
 - o Abstentions (0):
 - o Nays (0):
 - o MOTION PASSED
- DESCRIPTION/DISCUSSION
 - o Objection to the descriptor Corner Lot being used on the application and in discussions
 - Property is inappropriately described as being located on the corner of Chestnut and Walnut on the application
 - o It is NOT a corner lot
 - o It is actually located 2 lots in from Chestnut
 - It is very important to make sure that the property is NOT described as a corner lot on the application or anywhere official
 - o Richard Blabey stated
 - Designating it to be (or not be) a corner lot effects allowed signage and potentially other elements of the project as well
 - Corner Lot descriptor was used as a convenient handle NOT as an official designation
 - o Jane Gentile (ZEO) explained
 - Lot does NOT have an easy descriptor because it does NOT have an address
 - She has been referring to the lot that way too
 - Tax ID number is too complicated to use as a descriptor
 - Jane Gentile (ZEO) will make sure

- o The descriptor used on the application is corrected/clarified
- o The applicants know their lot is actually NOT a corner lot
 - Rules governing corner lots will NOT apply to their project
- o Sign Variance
 - Jane Gentile (ZEO)
 - o Dunkin believes they may need a sign variance
 - o Price Chopper was granted a sign variance
 - Richard Blabey
 - o Price Chopper variance was granted due to distance from the street
 - o Granting a sign variance might provide leverage
 - The Village could use to ask Dunkin for something in return
 - o They are allowed to have a freestanding sign in a commercial district without any special permission needed
 - Sign discussion was tabled for another meeting since it fell outside the scope tonight's agenda
- o Review of Letter from Cyndi Falk on behalf of the Streets Committee
 - Requesting that the Planning Board provide feedback on each point in the letter
 - o Board agreed to review and vote on each consideration proposed in the letter independently from one another
 - Jane Gentile (ZEO)
 - o Stated that everything hinges on the traffic safety study
 - She believes Dunkin did not have a representative at tonight's meeting because they are waiting for the
 results before investing a great deal more time and effort
 - Reported that Gene Berman told her that he is in favor of all propositions in the letter and thinks they should be approved
 - Key Discussion Points
 - 1. Loading Area
 - Street Loading Area is Problematic
 - o Walnut is too narrow to allow trucks to park at any time of day
 - Walnut Street is already designated and signed as NO PARKING
 - o Trucks will park on Walnut despite prohibitive street signage if Dunkin does net establish a designated area just for deliveries
 - Ellen Pope cited example of trucks parking on Chestnut when parking lot is too full
 - Potential On-Site Loading Solutions Include
 - o Using the drive-through/exit lanes and letting customers go around
 - Planning Board allowed CVS to use its drive-through lane as a loading area
 - o Trucks park far enough back to leave room for customers to go around
 - o Using the central part of the lot currently designated for green space
 - Jane Gentile (ZEO)
 - o Thinks this is less desirable would go against the Village goal of preserving green space
 - Richard Blabey stated
 - o Noted that the proposed size of the business means they will be required to have a minimum of (4) parking spaces
 - o Village designates specific times for deliveries
 - Jane Gentile (ZEO) stated

- Although the Planning Board has the power to impose time restrictions it is important to consider the impact imposed hours could have on residential neighbors
- o Jane Gentile (ZEO)
 - o Thinks Dunkin will NOT want to designate an area just for deliveries
- o Role of the Board/Village
 - Village dictates that loading cannot take place on the street
 - o Loading zone must be provided on-site
 - Legally Dunkin (not the Village) will be in charge of deciding where and how an on-site loading zone will be incorporated
 - o If it effects customers they will need to revise their approach
 - Village needs to remind Dunkin that they always need to have a minimum of 4 parking spaces available regardless of the solution they implement

o MOTION:

- Made by: David Pearlman / Seconded by: John Webb
- Proposition:

Only off-street loading should be allowed on Walnut Street

- Vote:
 - o Ayes (4): Blabey / Pearlman / Webb / Pope
 - o Abstentions (0):
 - o Nays (0):
 - o MOTION PASSED

2. Alternate Forms of Transportation Requiring Accommodation

o Cooperstown Village Has Adopted A Complete Streets Policy

- Goal is to make entire Village accessible by all forms of transportation
 - o Includes bicycles and pedestrians in addition to cars and other vehicles
- Pedestrians And Bicyclists
 - o Businesses should facilitate use by pedestrians and bicyclists
 - Safe paths
 - Bike racks
 - Village law does not permit anyone but children to ride bicycles on sidewalks
- Railroad
- Needs to be discussed because the Village comprehensive plan addresses possibility of reintroducing railroad transportation into the Village
 - o Existing tracks are at the intersection of Chestnut and Walnut so they would impact Dunkin
- Railroad will NOT impact the proposed Dunkin
 - o Board agreed that there is almost no possibility of the railroad returning in the foreseeable future
- Board Agreed that Dunkin Needs to Make Reasonable Accommodations for Pedestrian and Bicycle Traffic
 - Dunkin should provide bicycle racks
 - Pedestrian and bicycle traffic needs to be included in the safety study
 - Dunkin needs to create safe paths for pedestrians and bicycles by creating reasonable connections to the existing network of sidewalks and crosswalks

o MOTION:

- Made by: Ellen Pope / Seconded by: John Webb
- Proposition:

All forms of transportation need to be accommodated motor vehicles, bicycles, pedestrians

- Vote:
 - o Ayes (4): Blabey / Pearlman / Webb / Pope
 - o Abstentions (0):
 - o Nays (0):
 - o MOTION PASSED
- 3. Sidewalks and Crosswalks
 - Current Plan is Inadequate
 - o Dunkin is proposing to put in a sidewalk that runs across their entire property but no further
 - Will result in a sidewalk to nowhere
 - o Pedestrians need a sidewalk that connects to existing network of sidewalks and crosswalks
 - If project goes forward assuring an adequate sidewalk is essential to ensure safety
 - Board discussed: Where sidewalks should begin and end / Where crosswalks should be located
 - o Ideas Rejected
 - Sidewalk on South Side of Walnut that continues around the corner to PriceChopper/CVS
 - o Richard Blabey
 - Requiring Dunkin to put in a sidewalk that runs all the way to CVS/Price Chopper is UNREASONABLE
 - Board agreed
 - Connecting new sidewalk on South Side of Walnut with the existing sidewalk on the North Side of Walnut by creating a new crosswalk
 - o Ideas Preferred
 - Sidewalk on the South side of Walnut along the entire section from Chestnut to Linden Ave
 - Relocating existing crosswalk so that it will run from West side of Chestnut to South side of Walnut
 - o Jane Gentile (ZEO)
 - Believes it is reasonable to require the creation of a sidewalk that will connect to a crosswalk that crosses over to an existing sidewalk
 - o Will provide a safer path than the existing crosswalk to both Dunkin and the schools
 - o Will allow pedestrians to cross directly to the same side of Walnut that they are located on
 - o Will require ripping out a piece of the existing sidewalk to redo the curb
 - Curb is cut back where the existing crosswalk meets the sidewalk
 - **o** New crosswalk should be enhanced with flashing lights
 - Like the crosswalk located at West Beaver and Chestnut
- o MOTION:
 - Made by: Ellen Pope / Seconded by: John Webb
 - Proposition:

To approve the Street Committee's recommendations regarding sidewalks and crosswalks

Planning Board Village of Cooperstown

- Install a sidewalk on the South side of Walnut that runs from Linden Ave to Chestnut
- Ends of sidewalks at Linden and Chestnut should ramp down
- To approve (2) additional recommendations regarding sidewalks and crosswalks
 - 1. Remove existing crosswalk that runs from the West side of Chestnut to the North Side of Walnut
 - 2. Install enhanced crosswalk that runs from the West side of Chestnut to the South Side of Walnut
 - Enhanced crosswalk should have the same types of flashing lights (w/ button) used at Beaver and Chestnut
- Vote:
 - o Ayes (4): Blabey / Pearlman / Webb / Pope
 - o Abstentions (0):
 - o Nays (0):
 - o MOTION PASSED

o Other topics discussed

- Traffic congestion
 - o Traffic already backs up at intersection of Walnut and Chestnut at certain times of day due to the schools and hospital
 - o David Pearlman
 - Consider RIGHT TURN ONLY
 - o Can just be at certain times of day when congestion is worst due to schools etc
 - o Signage can direct traffic to use the Linden Ave extension to reach Chestnut
- Wetlands
 - o Dunkin's applications indicates that the property is listed as wetlands
 - o Jane Gentile (ZEO)
 - County records do NOT show lot as being or ever having been wetlands
 - Believes Dunkin may have relied on a website containing some misinformation
- Building Design Approval
 - o HPARB is responsible for assuring design is appropriate to the neighborhood
 - Will help assure building is reusable should ownership turn over to a new business or use
- NEXT STEPS

4. REVIEW OF PLANNING BOARD MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING: TUESDAY, AUGUST 20, 2019

- DISCUSSION
 - o Tabled due to lack of a quorum
 - (Only 2 people at tonight's meeting were also as the August 20, 2019 meeting)
- MOTION
 - o No Motion was made / No Vote Was Taken

5. REVIEW OF PLANNING BOARD MINUTES - SPECIAL MEETING: WEDNESDAY, JULY 10, 2019

- o Made by: John Webb / Seconded by: Richard Blabey
- o Resolution

To **APPROVE** the **PLANNING BOARD MINUTES** for the **SPECIAL MEETING** held on **WEDNESDAY**, **JULY 10, 2019**- as Written

- o Vote:
 - Ayes (4): Webb / Pearlman / Pope / Blabey
 - Abstentions (0):
 - Nays (0)
 - MOTION CARRIED

OTHER BUSINESS

• COMMENDATION FOR THE MINUTES

- o David Pearlman asked to go on record with a note of appreciation for the usefulness of the August minutes
 - The rest of the Board concurred
- PLANNING BOARD'S RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE TRUSTEES REGARDING THE PROPOSED HOUSING CODE
 - o Jane Gentile (ZEO) reported
 - Trustees accepted all the recommendations made by the Planning Board except for the elimination of ADUs (Accessory Dwelling Units) from the code
- NEXT PLANNING BOARD MEETING: OCTOBER 15, 2019
- MOTION TO ADJOURN
 - o Made by: Ellen Pope / Seconded by: John Webb
 - o Resolution
 - To ADJOURN THE MEETING
 - o Vote:
 - Ayes (4): Webb / Pearlman / Pope / Blabey
 - Abstentions (0):
 - Nays (0):
 - MOTION CARRIED
- MEETING ADJOURNED AT 5:50 PM (1hr 20min)

Respectfully submitted,

Mikal Sky-Shrewsberry, Clerk (PT)